## Exhibit B

Page 1 1 2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Docket No.: 1:16-cv-5171 (PKC) (RML) 3 4 THE LINCOLN NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, 5 Plaintiff, 6 - against -7 ELI INZLICHT-SPREI, individually, as trustee and/or beneficiary of the SARA SPREI FAMILY TRUST, and as Executor of the ESTATE OF SARA 8 SPREI; AVI ROSENFELD, as trustee of the SARA 9 SPREI FAMILY TRUST; ALAN RUBENSTEIN, as trustee of the SARA SOREI FAMILY TRUST; and 10 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., 11 Defendants. 12 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., 13 Cross-Claim Plaintiff, 14 - against -15 ALAN RUBENSTEIN, as trustee of the SARA SPREI FAMILY TRUST, 16 Cross-Claim Defendant. 17 18 90 Park Avenue New York, New York 19 December 12, 2017 11:32 a.m. 20 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of HERMAN SEGAL, taken 21 22 before Brittany Saline, a Professional Shorthand 23 Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New 24 York, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil 25 Procedure.

Page 6 1 2 MS. OUIRÓS: Courtney Quirós with 3 Alston & Bird representing Wells 4 Fargo. 5 MR. PANETH: Michael Paneth, 6 Paneth & O'Mahony, representing Alan 7 Rubenstein as trustee of the Sara 8 Sprei Family Trust. 9 THE WITNESS: Herman Segal, 10 witness. 11 MR. KROLL: Gerry Kroll 12 representing Eli Inzlicht-Sprei. 13 I would just like to have the record 14 corrected by the videographer, that 15 the deposition is not being taken by 16 the plaintiff. Plaintiff has been --17 it is being taken by the defendant, 18 Wells Fargo. 19 MR. SAWICKI: I think he said 20 claimant. 21 MR. VIDUCICH: And Robert 22 Viducich-Schultz on behalf of Dr. Eli 23 Inzlicht. 24 HERMAN S E G A L, the witness herein, 25 having been duly affirmed to tell the truth, the

```
Page 7
1
                     Herman Segal
2
     whole truth, and nothing but the truth relating to
 3
     said matter, was examined and testified as
 4
     follows:
5
               THE WITNESS: I so affirm.
     BY MR. SAWICKI:
 6
7
          Q
               Thank you. Good morning,
8
     Mr. Segal. Thank you for coming.
9
               Would you please show your
10
     driver's license to the video camera before
11
     we start?
12
          Α
                (Complying.)
13
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                    Okay.
14
               Thank you.
          Q
15
               You indicated, Mr. Segal, that
16
     your home address is 4115 Quentin Road; is
17
     that correct?
18
               Yes, it is.
          A
19
               In Brooklyn?
          Q
20
          Α
               Yes, it is.
21
               And how long have you lived
          Q
22
     there?
23
               About 16 years.
          Α
24
          Q
               Where did you grow up?
25
          Α
               I grew up in Brooklyn in Borough
```

Page 12 1 Herman Segal 2 because they weren't within the statutory definition of viatical, which is imminent 3 death of a year or two, but they still had 4 5 a value as far as selling them. 6 And when you say policies on 7 older people, do you mean life insurance 8 policies? Yes, I do. 9 Α 10 When did you start engaging in 11 life insurance policy transactions? 12 I had been buying policies over Α 13 the years as an investor and then in 2007, approximately, I started going into the 14 15 business of procuring the policies and 16 actually taking out policies as well as 17 selling them. 18 Okay. And how long did you Q 19 engage in that activity? 20 The business was about -- about 21 four years, five years, and then sometime 22 in approximately 2010, 2011, the business atmosphere for this type of business, which 23 24 was life settlement, became untenable and 25 it was no longer viable.

```
Page 15
1
                    Herman Segal
2
         identification as Exhibit 142 as of
3
         this date.)
               (Perusing.) Pen by chance?
4
         Α
5
               Sure. Remember, Herman, don't
6
    write on the originals there.
7
               Do you want a piece of paper?
8
         Α
               If I can have a pad or something,
9
    please.
10
               Yeah, sure.
         Q
11
         Α
               It's good you reminded me.
                                            Thank
12
    you.
13
               Ready. Mr. Segal, I put before
         Q
    you what we've marked as Sprei -- or
14
15
    Exhibit No. 142 in this case.
                                    It is a New
16
    York Department of State Division of
17
    Corporations form for an entity named Omega
18
    Venture Capital Limited. The initial
19
    filing was in December of 2005.
20
               Is this an entity that you formed
21
    in or about December 2005?
22
         Α
               Yes, it was.
23
               And is 1243 48th Street,
24
    Brooklyn, New York 11219 a business address
    of yours in 2005?
25
```

Page 16 1 Herman Segal 2 A Yes, it was. Gerry, I'm going to 3 MR. SAWICKI: do Signature Premium Funding next. 4 5 MR. KROLL: Okay. I know where 6 these are already. I know that. 7 MR. SAWICKI: Yeah, okay. 8 Thanks. 9 (Two-page document was marked for 10 identification as Exhibit 143 as of 11 this date.) 12 All right. Before we -- before 13 we go on to the next exhibit, Mr. Segal, 14 let me ask you about Omega Venture Capital. 15 What was the business that that 16 entity engaged in? 17 Α It was just a catchall -- alter 18 ego, any time that I was doing anything 19 other than using my name, I would use Omega 20 Venture Capital. 21 Okay. I put before you Exhibit 22 No. 143, which is another New York Department of State Division of 23 24 Corporations form for an entity called 25 Signature Premium Funding Limited.

```
Page 18
1
                    Herman Segal
2
    entities?
3
               Yes, it was.
         Α
               Now, with respect to all -- well,
4
         Q
5
    we will do that next.
               What was the business of
6
7
    Centurion Settlements?
8
         Α
               Also the intent in this was
9
    selling policies, buying policies, hence
10
    the name settlements.
11
         0
               Okay. Let's do one more.
12
               (Two-page document was marked for
13
         identification as Exhibit 145 as of
14
         this date.)
15
               Mr. Segal, Exhibit No. 145 is
16
    another department of -- New York
17
    Department of State Division of
18
    Corporations form for an entity called
19
    Signature Capital Group Limited, also
    formed in June of 2007.
20
21
               Is this another one of your
22
    entities?
23
               Well, used my entities, that I
    did form it and I was involved with it, but
24
25
    I wasn't the necessarily the sole owner.
```

Page 19 1 Herman Segal 2 Q Okay. What -- were you a part 3 owner of Signature Capital Group Limited? 4 Yes, I was. Α 5 What about the other three 0 6 entities, Signature Premium Funding, 7 Centurion Settlements, and Omega Venture 8 Capital, were you the sole owner of all 9 three of those entities? 10 No, Omega Venture Capital I was 11 the sole owner. Signature Premium Funding 12 and Centurion Settlements, the Premium 13 Funding, I'm pretty sure we never did 14 anything, but Centurion Settlements when we 15 opened bank accounts, I specifically recall 16 that there were other signatories. 17 Who were they on the Centurion Settlements? 18 19 They were Alan Spiegel and his Α 20 brother Steven Spiegel. 21 So to the best of your memory, 22 were they part owners of that Centurion Settlements business -- entity rather? 23 24 Α Well, when you say part owners, 25 shares were never actually distributed. To

Page 20 1 Herman Segal 2 the best of my recollection, there were 3 different bank accounts and different banks and I opened an account in one bank and the 4 5 Spiegels opened an account in another bank 6 and to that extent we were partners. 7 Okay. And did you -- by Q partners, did you share in the proceeds and 8 9 profits from the business activities of 10 Centurion Settlements? 11 It was often hard to 12 differentiate between what profits were 13 attached to what part of the transaction in 14 the policy, but the intent was that we 15 would be equal partners in the settlement 16 aspect or at least when we were both 17 involved in settling the policy. 18 Q Okay. And what about Signature Capital Group, what was the purpose of that 19 20 business entity? 21 That was the entity that was Α 22 primarily involved in writing the policies. 23 Did Signature Capital Group have 0 24 licensed insurance agents as employees? 25 Α Yes, it did.

	Page 21
1	Herman Segal
2	Q Was your son, William Segal, a
3	licensed insurance agent who worked for
4	Signature Capital Group in the 2007 to 2010
5	time frame?
6	A Yes, he was.
7	Q Was your son, William Segal, a
8	part owner of Signature Capital Group?
9	A Not at the beginning, but
10	somewhere along the way, yeah yes.
11	Q Were the Spiegels also part
12	owners of that business?
13	A I don't think so.
14	Q Was another one of the owners
15	Nathan Berger, another life insurance agent
16	who worked there?
17	A At some point. At some point he
18	left the business.
19	Q Okay.
20	A And at some point he was a
21	partner.
22	Q Was Signature Capital Group a
23	licensed general agency for life insurance
24	companies?
25	A No.

Page 22 1 Herman Segal 2 Q But did you work through any 3 licensed general agents for life insurance companies in connection with the business 4 5 activities of Signature Capital? 6 Α Yes, we did. 7 Q Which ones? 8 Α Primarily would be -- was the general agent was Innovative -- which was 9 10 convenient, around the corner from our 11 office. 12 Was it Innovative Brokers? 13 Α I believe so, yes. 14 0 Any others? 15 Α There may have been one or two 16 policies that were written through another 17 general agent, but I'm not sure, I was 18 really not involved in that aspect of the 19 business. 20 Okay. Now, let me ask you to 21 explain a little more detail of the nature 22 of these life insurance policy 23 transactions. 24 You indicated that you got 25 involved particularly through the Signature

	Page 23
1	Herman Segal
2	Capital Group entity with procuring life
3	insurance policies on were the who
4	were the insureds that you were writing
5	policies on at the in the 2007 to 2010
6	time frame?
7	A Any one who fit the criteria.
8	Q And what were the criteria,
9	generally speaking?
10	A Age had to be at least in the 70s
11	or above, health had to be reasonably good,
12	so that we would get, at a minimum, a
13	standard rating, if not a preferred rating.
14	Q And what impact did the
15	standard did the rating have on on
16	the procurement of the policy?
17	A Well, if the rating was
18	preferred, the premiums would be cheaper
19	and, hence, the policy would be more
20	valuable in a few years, when the policy
21	was intended to be sold.
22	Q Any other criteria besides for
23	age and health?
24	A Well, the family had to be able
25	to show substantial assets, so that the

	Page 24
1	Herman Segal
2	insurance companies would agree to issue
3	larger amount policies, because we weren't
4	interested in doing small policies and the
5	family and the insured had to be onboard
6	with the entire business.
7	Q And what was the entire business?
8	What was the business concept for procuring
9	these policies on elderly people with
10	decent health able to show substantial net
11	worth?
12	A That subsequent to the two-year
13	contestability periods, the policies would
14	be sold.
15	Q Sold to whom or what type of
16	entity?
17	A Well, there was hedge funds that
18	were buying these policies.
19	Q Okay. And what is the
20	significance of the two-year contestability
21	period?
22	A Well, the no hedge fund would
23	buy a policy unless it was at least two
24	years old because they were never sure that
25	the insurance company would pay out the

Page 25 1 Herman Segal 2 death benefit if the person died prior to 3 the two-year period. 4 Additionally, the insurance 5 companies were suspect of any policies that 6 were transferred within the two years, and 7 that, in and of itself, could cause an 8 investigation. 9 Q Okay. Was it your understanding 10 that the life insurance companies who were 11 issuing these policies had the right under 12 their insurance contracts and/or the law to 13 contest the validity of the policies during 14 that initial two-year period? 15 Α They definitely had the right 16 to -- to challenge a policy within the 17 two-year period for factors such as 18 insurable interest and assets. 19 Okay. And was that part of the Q 20 reason that you decided to wait for more 21 than two years before you turn around and 22 try to sell the policies to hedge funds and 23 others? 24 Α Yes, there was no market within 25 two years.

Page 26 1 Herman Segal 2 Q Okay. In this case, we have a 3 20 million-dollar insurance policy issued 4 by Lincoln National on the life of a woman 5 named Sara Sprei, S-P-R-E-I. 6 Were -- were you and Signature 7 Capital involved in procuring this -- the 8 policy at issue? 9 When you say "procuring," do you Α mean did we write the policies? 10 11 Q Yes. 12 Α Yes, we did. 13 Q For a -- a policy with a Okay. 14 faceup value of \$20 million on an elderly 15 woman who had decent health, generally 16 speaking, what was the range of the annual 17 premiums due and owing on that policy? 18 Α The premiums depended on the age 19 and depended on if it was standard or 20 preferred and if the premiums were --21 originally they were set up to be level 22 payments, but in actuality, the cost of 23 insurance would obviously go up the older 24 the person paid. 25 Q Okay. And what -- what dollar

	Page 29
1	Herman Segal
2	Q And what was the commission
3	payable to the insurance agent on a
4	20 million-dollar policy with the first
5	year premium of about \$1 million?
6	A It would be close to 100 percent.
7	Q Or a million dollars?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And in this case, was your son,
10	William Segal, the insurance agent on the
11	Sara Sprei policy?
12	A Yes, he was.
13	Q Does that mean that he was due
14	the million dollars in commissions that
15	were generated from the sale or the
16	issuance of the policy?
17	A No. We used Signature Capital as
18	the entity that would get the commission.
19	Q Okay. Now, as part of of
20	you indicated that the family of the
21	insured would have to be onboard for the
22	entire transaction.
23	Does that mean that they were
24	made aware that the policy would be sold
25	after the two-year period and had to agree

	Page 30
1	Herman Segal
2	to that concept?
3	A Well, they always had the right
4	to keep the policy, if they wanted to, but
5	that would
6	MR. SAWICKI: Go off the record
7	again.
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time now
9	is approximately 12:04. We're going
10	off the record.
11	(Discussion was held off the
12	record.)
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
14	12:07 p.m. We're back on the record.
15	BY MR. SAWICKI:
16	Q Thank you. Mr. Segal, you
17	indicated that the family had the right to
18	keep the policy. Was the initial concept
19	that strike that.
20	Was it contemplated that the
21	family would be paying the initial premium
22	as part of the issuance of this large life
23	insurance policy?
24	A If they did, they would be
25	reimbursed relatively quickly, but no,

	Page 31
1	Herman Segal
2	usually they would not make the premium in
3	the sense that they would risk any money.
4	Q Okay. What was the source of the
5	funding for the initial premium?
6	A Depending on the carrier and
7	depending on the situation, usually, we
8	would use Himelsein and Mandel to fund the
9	policies.
10	Q Is one of their entities known
11	as or called HM Ruby?
12	A Yes, that was the entity that was
13	used.
14	Q Okay. If the family decided that
15	they did not want to keep the policy after
16	its issuance, was it contemplated that the
17	family would be personally responsible for
18	any of the insurance premiums between the
19	time of issuance and the sale of the
20	policy?
21	A No, of course not. There was a
22	put option that was simultaneously executed
23	with the loan documents and the put option
24	allowed the family or the trustee to give
25	up the policy to the HM Ruby Fund and the

	Page 32
1	Herman Segal
2	loan would then become nonrecourse.
3	Q Okay. When these policies were
4	procured or written, as you said, and
5	issued by the insurance policy, who was set
6	up to be the who or what entity was set
7	up to be the owner of the policy?
8	A Specifically in this case or in
9	general?
10	Q Generally speaking first.
11	A Generally speaking, it would be a
12	trust that was set up.
13	Q In the nature of a life insurance
14	trust?
15	A Yes.
16	Q In the name of the family?
17	A In the name of the insured.
18	Q Okay. And who was selected to be
19	the trustee of this life insurance trust?
20	A Any attorney who was okay with
21	this type of arrangement.
22	Q Why were attorneys used or
23	selected to be the trustees of these
24	trusts?
25	A Oh, in addition to the fact that

	Page 33
1	Herman Segal
2	there was a lot of money involved and we
3	needed someone that was trustworthy, the
4	lender required either an attorney or a
5	CPA, so that they would have a certain
6	amount of comfort.
7	Q And the lender being HM Ruby
8	generally?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. Let's talk more
11	specifically about strike that.
12	You indicated that Steven Spiegel
13	and Alan Spiegel had some ownership or
14	partnership interest in these entities
15	we've identified. Were either of them
16	licensed insurance agents?
17	A They were not.
18	Q Were you a licensed insurance
19	agent?
20	A I was not.
21	Q What role did if any, did
22	Mr. Alan Spiegel and Steven Spiegel play in
23	connection with these life insurance
24	transactions?
25	A Firstly, they were the ones who

	Page 34
1	Herman Segal
2	connected the HM Ruby Fund to Signature
3	Capital and that was the source of most of
4	the funding of our policies. And secondly,
5	they would procure insureds.
6	Q And by procuring insureds, what
7	did you mean what do you mean?
8	A They would find clients to write
9	policies on.
10	Q And then how would you and your
11	partners go about finding persons or
12	entities to buy these insurance policies
13	after the two-year contestability period
14	passed?
15	A It was a healthy market of
16	companies who bought life settlements and
17	were brokers who would find a buyer.
18	Q And are these brokers known as
19	life settlement brokers?
20	A Yes, they were.
21	Q Is this a separate was this a
22	regulated business in the 2007 to 2010 time
23	frame?
24	A It became regulated during that
25	time frame.

	Page 41
1	Herman Segal
2	A Only subsequent to the policy
3	being issued.
4	Q Did you become when you met
5	Mr. or Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei, did you become
6	aware that your son, William Segal, had
7	been involved in the procurement of the
8	policy on Sara Sprei?
9	A Yes.
10	MR. SAWICKI: Gerry, I'm going to
11	Exhibit No. 40 in this case. The
12	handwritten agreement.
13	MR. KROLL: Okay. Just can
14	you give me one second to pull it up.
15	That's not in the documents that were
16	sent, right
17	MS. GWOREK: Yes, it is.
18	MR. KROLL: It is on there, okay.
19	I will put it up.
20	MS. GWOREK: It's 2008/4/28 date,
21	Exhibit 40.
22	MR. KROLL: Okay. Cool. Hold on
23	one second. I hold on. Got it.
24	We have it. Thank you. Got it.
25	MR. SAWICKI: Okay. Great.

	Page 42
1	Herman Segal
2	(One-page handwritten agreement
3	previously marked for identification
4	as Exhibit 40 on 10/20/17.)
5	Q Mr Mr. Segal, Exhibit No. 40
6	is a handwritten agreement that Dr. Eli
7	Inzlicht-Sprei has already testified that
8	he entered into and signed.
9	Is that your signature on the
10	bottom of this one-page agreement?
11	A It appears so, yes.
12	Q Did you enter into this agreement
13	with Mr with Dr. Eli Inzlicht-Sprei on
14	or about April of 2008?
15	A Apparently I did.
16	Q And was this an agreement related
17	to the Lincoln National policy on Ms. Sara
18	Sprei?
19	A Yes, it was.
20	Q Did you agree with
21	Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei as it says in No. 1 that
22	the premium payments for that policy will
23	be made by Signature Capital whether
24	directly or through third parties?
25	A Yes.

Page 43 1 Herman Segal 2 Q Did you also agree that the 3 trustee would be agreed to in advance by both parties? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 And is that the trustee for the 7 life insurance trust that would be the 8 owner of the policy? 9 Α Yes. The trustee of the trust 10 that owned the policy, yes. 11 Did you also agree with 12 Inzlicht-Sprei, as it says in No. 13 that upon the sale of the policy or the death of the insured, 5 percent of the 14 15 gross proceeds would go to the doctor and 16 the remaining 95 percent will go to 17 Signature Capital? 18 That's what it says, but there Α 19 were other things that were understood that 20 weren't necessarily listed --21 What other things? 22 Α He was a client of Spiegel, I did 23 not know him until the policy was already 24 written or was about to be written or was 25 already in effect, so to speak. He wanted

Page 44 1 Herman Segal 2 something in writing, most people did not. Most agreements were done just by 3 4 handshake. 5 So it does say 5 percent, but the 6 understanding was that if there was a 7 sudden death and they actually paid out, 8 that he would get 10 percent. 9 Q So if Mr. Sara Sprei died and 10 \$20 million were paid out, you had an 11 understanding that Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei, that 12 he would get \$2 million and Signature 13 Capital would get \$18 million? 14 That's correct. Α 15 But in the -- according to this 16 agreement, in the event of the sale of the 17 policy, prior to Ms. Sprei's death, did 18 this paragraph 3 control that is that 19 Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei was -- was supposed to 20 get 5 percent of the proceeds of the sale 21 of the policy and 95 percent would go to 22 Signature Capital? 23 Α He certainly would have had 24 the right to make a decision as to what he 25 wanted the policy to go forward if -- in

Page 45 1 Herman Segal 2 other words, if, for example, we were 3 lapsing the policy, he would have the right to say don't lapse it, I will pay it going 4 5 forward. If he was selling it, he would 6 have the right to say let me buy it, 7 et cetera. 8 Q Okay. 9 And that's not anywhere in this 10 agreement. 11 Are you saying it was 0 12 contemplated that he would be informed as 13 to what Signature Capital intended to do 14 with the policy and given them the option 15 to take it over or --16 The understanding was that 17 on all of our clients that they had input 18 after two years what we would do with it. 19 The next paragraph in this Okay. 20 agreement, Exhibit 40, says that nothing 21 obligates Signature Capital to maintain the 22 policy, however, should they -- and the 23 "they" being Signature Capital -- elect to 24 lapse the policy, Signature Capital will 25 pay Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei \$50,000 as

	Page 46
1	Herman Segal
2	compensation.
3	Was that part of your agreement
4	with Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei?
5	A Yes, it was. I mean, we didn't
6	make commission on the policy and he was
7	supposed to get a portion of the entire
8	profit on the policy, even though, when
9	these policies were financed, we didn't
10	keep anywhere near the entire commission,
11	HM Ruby took a chunk of it. It was through
12	money that was made on the policy, so
13	that's why the 50,000 was not lost to us,
14	it was part of the profit.
15	Q Okay. Paragraph 5 says that
16	Signature Capital will fund the initial
17	premium by wiring money to the doctor, it
18	will then forward the money to Lincoln, the
19	insurance company and/or the trustee.
20	Was that your agreement with
21	Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei?
22	A Yes. Even though, many a time,
23	they would change their mind and I'm not
24	sure I recall if this happened in this
25	case, many a time they decided not to do it

	Page 47
1	Herman Segal
2	that way, either timing-wise, we didn't
3	have enough cash flow or time to put the
4	money into the account and sometimes they
5	didn't want to do it because their
6	accountant told them not to do it, they
7	didn't want to be involved.
8	It was depending on each
9	situation. But in a perfect world, that's
10	how it would have gone.
11	Q Okay. In any event, it was
12	contemplated that Dr neither Dr.
13	Inzlicht-Sprei nor his family would be
14	personally responsible for the initial
15	premium payment, correct?
16	A That's correct, yes.
17	Q Well, before we go on to the next
18	thing, let me go ahead and ask, paragraph 6
19	talks about an alternative dispute
20	resolution mechanism for any disputes
21	between you and Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei about
22	this agreement.
23	What is a Beit Din?
24	A It's a religious court.
25	Q Okay. To your knowledge, has

	Page 48
1	Herman Segal
2	Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei ever brought a claim
3	against you or Signature Capital in a Beit
4	Din concerning this agreement, Exhibit 40?
5	MR. KROLL: Objection.
6	A No, he has not.
7	Q Have you ever initiated a claim
8	or in a Beit Din concerning this
9	agreement?
10	A I have not.
11	MR. SAWICKI: Next exhibit,
12	Gerry, is No. 48 (handing).
13	MR. KROLL: 48 and what let me
14	try to just pull that up, hold on.
15	What
16	MR. SAWICKI: It's the trust
17	agreement.
18	MS. GWOREK: It's 2008/03.
19	MR. KROLL: Hold on. 48. Got
20	it. Thank you.
21	(20-page Sara Sprei Family Trust
22	Agreement previously marked for
23	identification as Exhibit 48 on
24	10/20/17.)
25	

	Page 53
1	Herman Segal
2	A Well, there came a point in time
3	when there was friction between the
4	Spiegels and the Segals and at that point
5	there were there was basically a
6	dissolution of the partnership, there was
7	acrimony, there was animosity, and Mr. Alan
8	Rubenstein had allied himself or at least
9	not openly, but was my feeling that his
10	loyalties was with the Spiegels.
11	MR. SAWICKI: Okay. Gerry, we're
12	going to go to Exhibit No. 28.
13	(handing).
14	MR. KROLL: Thank you. Can you
15	give me a date, Todd.
16	MR. SAWICKI: April 1, 2008.
17	MR. KROLL: Got it. Thank you.
18	(One-page letter dated 4/1/08
19	previously marked for identification
20	as Exhibit 28 on 9/28/17.)
21	Q Mr. Segal, I put in front of you
22	Exhibit No. 28.
23	Is this a document that appears
24	on what was Signature Capital Group's
25	letterhead in 2008?

	Page 54
1	Herman Segal
2	A (Perusing.) Yes.
3	Q Is that your son's signature at
4	the bottom of the letter?
5	A It appears to be his signature,
6	yes.
7	Q Were you involved in the drafting
8	of this Exhibit No. 28?
9	A I have no specific recollection,
10	and to the extent if I would have been, I
11	would plead the Fifth as well.
12	Q Okay. Did Ms. Sara Sprei and her
13	family, to your knowledge, have a net worth
14	of 48 of about \$48 million in 2008, as
15	represented in this letter?
16	A Obviously I don't know, but
17	and that's where I should end my statement.
18	But probably could have known that it was
19	not an accurate description and, quite
20	frankly, Lincoln knew it as well.
21	Q And by "not an accurate
22	description, do you mean that the
23	represented net worth was overstated
24	A Yes.
25	Q in this letter?

```
Page 56
1
                    Herman Segal
2
         Α
              (Perusing.)
3
              MR. KROLL: What was that date
         again?
4
5
              MS. GWOREK: 2007/12/31.
6
              MR. KROLL: Right. Exhibit 44.
7
         I have it.
                      Thank you.
8
               (Sara Sprei & Family Statement of
9
         Net Worth as of 12/31/07 previously
10
         marked for identification as
         Exhibit 44 on 10/20/17.)
11
12
    BY MR. SAWICKI:
13
              Mr. Segal, have you ever seen
    this draft, Accountant's Compilation Report
14
15
    for Sara Sprei & Family Statement of Net
16
    Worth as of December 31, 2007?
17
              I don't recall seeing this, no.
         A
18
               If you turn to the third page of
19
    the Exhibit No. 44, it references a
20
    certified public accountant, Isaac J. Baum
21
    in Brooklyn, New York.
22
              Do you know that person?
23
               (Perusing.) I've made his
         Α
24
    acquaintance, I actually went to school, I
25
    think, with him, many years before.
```

	Page 57
1	Herman Segal
2	Q Do you know whether he actually
3	prepared this document, in March of 2008?
4	A I assume he did.
5	Q Do you know what he based the
6	information about the Sara Sprei and family
7	net worth on, when this report was
8	prepared?
9	A I assume that that's what he was
10	asked. It was represented that this was
11	her assets.
12	Q Do you know who made the
13	representations to him about what the Sara
14	Sprei and family assets were at the time?
15	A I do not, no.
16	Q Do you know whether it was
17	anybody at Signature Capital?
18	A Well, it was someone who had an
19 20	interest in the policy being written, so,
21	presumably, it was someone at Signature Capital.
22	Q Okay.
23	A Possibly myself, if I I would
24	plead the Fifth if I was the one involved.
25	MR. SAWICKI: Gerry, next exhibit
	in. Simioni. Scriy, neat exhibit

	Page 58
1	Herman Segal
2	is going be Exhibit 49, the life
3	insurance policy.
4	MS. GWOREK: The date on that is
5	2008/03/25.
6	MR. KROLL: Thank you, Melanie,
7	it's a lot easier. Got it. Thank
8	you.
9	By the way, what what's your
10	plans in terms of lunch or and a
11	break or anything?
12	MR. SAWICKI: I hadn't decided
13	yet. What's everybody pleasure? Do
14	you want me to stop at 1 or 1:30?
15	MR. PANETH: I am happy to keep
16	going and get out earlier, but
17	whatever works for everyone I'm fine
18	with.
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We've got to
20	switch the media at 1:00.
21	MR. SAWICKI: Okay. He's got to
22	change the tape at 1:00, so why don't
23	we break then for about 45 minutes.
24	MR. KROLL: That's fine.
25	MR. SAWICKI: For a quick bite.

	Page 59
1	Herman Segal
2	MR. KROLL: Okay.
3	MR. SAWICKI: Yeah, I think this
4	is going to go more quickly. This is
5	going to go more quickly than last
6	time, so we will be here
7	THE WITNESS: Forty-five minutes
8	is a quick bite, okay.
9	MR. KROLL: Oh, okay. Quick
10	break. Well, if you want to take a
11	quick break, then it's going to be a
12	good and the reporter will be alive
13	and the videographer will be well.
14	And we'll all be good.
15	MR. SAWICKI: Yep, yep.
16	(Lincoln National Life Insurance
17	Policy previously marked for
18	identification as Exhibit 49 on
19	10/20/17.)
20	BY MR. SAWICKI:
21	Q Okay. Mr Mr. Segal, I put
22	before you Exhibit No. 49, which has been
23	identified as the 20 million-dollar life
24	insurance policy on Sara Sprei.
25	Can you please turn to if you

	Page 62
1	Herman Segal
2	Q Do you know why this wire
3	transfer was sent to Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei on
4	this date, which is within a few week a
5	week or so after excuse me, a month or
6	so after this policy was issued?
7	A When you say a month after the
8	policy was issued, does that mean that
9	money had already been paid? There was a
10	premium already paid to the insurance
11	company?
12	Q We'll see.
13	A No, obviously, that would my
14	answer would be depending on if the premium
15	had already been paid or the premium had
16	not been paid.
17	Q Okay. If the premium had been
18	paid, what's your understanding of why you
19	were sending money to Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei on
20	this date?
21	A If somehow Dr. Sprei had laid out
22	the money and advanced the money for the
23	premium, then this was a reimbursement.
24	Q Okay. Is it possible that
25	Signature Capital Group or one of your

	Page 63
1	Herman Segal
2	entities advanced the initial premium
3	payment?
4	A By sending this money to
5	Dr. Sprei
6	MR. KROLL: I object on the
7	grounds of privilege objection.
8	And that calls for speculation. No
9	foundation.
10	Q Okay.
11	A If the premium hadn't been paid
12	and this money was sent to Dr. Sprei and
13	then the premium was subsequently paid,
14	then that would probably be the reason.
15	Q That being that Signature Capital
16	Group or one of your entities was advancing
17	the initial premium payment?
18	A Or we had gotten the money from
19	HM Ruby and we were passing it along to pay
20	the premium.
21	Q I will represent to you,
22	Mr. Segal, that in connection with this
23	matter, a bank account was opened in the
24	name of the Sara Sprei Family Trust at
25	Chase Bank with Avi Rosenfeld as trustee.

	Page 66
1	Herman Segal
2	Q Do you recognize his handwriting
3	on any pages of this Exhibit No. 53?
4	A I am not that familiar with his
5	handwriting.
6	Q Okay. This document shows, and I
7	will represent to you, that the HM Ruby
8	premium financing for this Sara Sprei
9	policy was arranged in or about August of
10	2008. So a few months after the policy was
11	issued.
12	Does this give you a better
13	understanding or memory of how the initial
14	premium for the Lincoln National policy was
15	paid or funded?
16	A I'm not sure if HM Ruby ever
17	advanced money prior to the process. There
18	was a lot of money going back and forth
19	between HM Ruby and our account. But if
20	they did not send any money before the
21	application process was complete, then it
22	must be paid through general funds of
23	Signature Capital.
24	Q Who okay. Just for
25	convenience purposes, let me just do one

	Page 76
1	Herman Segal
2	BY MR. SAWICKI:
3	Q Mr. Segal, Exhibit 66 is a copy
4	of a check drawn on the Omega Venture
5	Capital Limited bank account Signature Bank
6	ending the account number ending in 1531
7	in the amount of \$10,000 to Eli
8	Inzlicht-Sprei.
9	Is that your signature on the
10	A It is.
11	Q on the check?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Do you remember why you sent
14	Mr Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei a \$10,000 check
15	on April 2009?
16	A I do not.
17	Q Do you recall whether
18	Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei had agreed to review
19	some medical records for your Signature
20	Capital Group in connection with your life
21	insurance transactions?
22	A It does sound vaguely familiar
23	that he was doing some type of work for us.
24	And the only thing I can think of is
25	reviewing files.

	Page 77
1	Herman Segal
2	I know we had some relationship,
3	so I do recall something, if it was on any
4	specific case or if it was on several
5	cases, but obviously it's too large an
6	amount to be on one case, so yes.
7	Q And for what purpose would you be
8	asking Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei, or any doctor
9	for that matter, to review medical records
10	in connection with transactions you you
11	or Signature Capital were involved in?
12	A I'm looking at the date of '09.
13	The only time we would need files reviewed
14	was either when we were buying a policy,
15	issuing a policy, or if we were selling a
16	policy, so in April of '09, I don't think
17	we were writing any more policies, so it
18	was presumably to sell a policy or maybe to
19	review a policy we were thinking of buying
20	on the secondary market. I'm not sure.
21	But there would be different
22	scenarios where we needed medical files
23	reviewed to see if something was overlooked
24	by the life expectancy companies that we
25	used to evaluate someone's life expectancy.

	Page 78
1	Herman Segal
2	Q Do you know whether and to what
3	extent and, if so, to what extent Mr
4	or Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei was made aware of the
5	purpose of the requested review?
6	A Oh, I'm sure he would have been
7	made aware of why we needed him to review
8	it, to know what to look out for.
9	So I recall a case where the
10	policy was either something we were
11	interested in buying or something we were
12	interested in selling and the AVS and 21st,
13	which were the two major companies that ran
14	life expectancies, that were used in the
15	industry to determine how long someone was
16	going to be expected to live, we used a
17	50 percent mark, that 50 percent would
18	presumably not be around at if the life
19	expectancy was, for example, four years, so
20	50 percent would be expected to be no
21	longer alive and 50 percent of people with
22	such a medical file would be expected to be
23	alive. And it was obvious that the person
24	was diabetic and yet since both AVS and
25	21st used computer programs and use medical

	Page 79
1	Herman Segal
2	personnel that don't really study a file,
3	no one had put the word "diabetic" on the
4	person's file and because of that, we were
5	able to realize that the person's life
6	expectancy was substantially shorter than
7	what they had listed.
8	MS. GWOREK: Gerry, we're going
9	to another Omega checking statement.
10	This one is 2010/08/31.
11	MR. KROLL: Okay. Just one
12	moment. Got it. Excellent. Thank
13	you.
14	(Four-page document was marked
15	for identification as Exhibit 147 as
16	of this date.)
17	BY MR. SAWICKI:
18	Q This is Exhibit 147.
19	Mr. Segal, can you confirm that
20	this is a Signature Bank bank account
21	statement for Omega Venture Capital for the
22	period ending August 31, 2010?
23	A (Perusing.) It appears to be so,
2 4	yes.
25	Q On the second page, the bank

	Page 83
1	Herman Segal
2	Exhibit No. 12, which has been identified
3	as an e-mail exchange between Alan Spiegel
4	and folks at Berkshire Settlements, which
5	acted as the originator for the purchase of
6	this policy in 2010.
7	First of all, on the top of the
8	first page of Exhibit 12 of the signature
9	block, under Mr. Alan Spiegel's e-mail,
10	references R&S Capital Group LLC.
11	Do you know are you familiar
12	with that entity?
13	A Yes.
14	Q What is it?
15	A It's an entity that Alan Spiegel
16	owned.
17	Q Okay. Did you have any ownership
18	in R&S Capital Group or any interest
19	whatsoever?
20	A There was a point in time when he
21	had bought several policies from HM Ruby or
22	at least had bought their interest in the
23	policy and it's possible that pursuant to
24	that purchase we had some interest in R&S
25	Capital. But other than that, no, I have

	Page 87
1	Herman Segal
2	A Yes, I believe that's his current
3	telephone number.
4	Q And his e-mail, right?
5	A Yes, that's one of his emails.
6	Q So as this document indicates,
7	this was these forms were filled out in
8	September 2010.
9	Does this refresh your memory
10	that this Sara Sprei Lincoln National
11	policy was sold to Berkshire Settlements in
12	a life settlement transaction in or about
13	September/October 2010?
14	A Well, as recently as several
15	months ago, I had no recollection of this
16	policy being sold at all and I was made
17	aware of some of these e-mails that I was
18	somehow involved and I was made aware of
19	these e-mails by people who wanted me to
20	try to put pressure on Dr. Inzlicht to walk
21	away from this case. And at that time, I
22	actually suspected that they were
23	forgeries.
24	However, since then, it's come to
25	my not through memory, but just from

	Page 88
1	Herman Segal
2	seeing some of these things, that perhaps I
3	was aware at the time that the policy was
4	sold.
5	Q And who were these people that
6	were trying to put pressure on you to
7	persuade Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei to walk away
8	from this case several months ago?
9	A It was some people in the
10	community who felt that it was opening a
11	can of worms, so to speak, to have this
12	action go forward.
13	Q And you knew who they were,
14	right?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And you spoke with them
17	personally or on the phone or some other
18	way?
19	A Both.
20	Q How many people are we talking
21	about?
22	A Three, four.
23	Q How how long during what
24	period of time did these communications
25	take place?

	Page 94
1	Herman Segal
2	hold on one second. Hold on.
3	MR. SAWICKI: Sure.
4	MR. KROLL: Okay. Got it.
5	MR. SAWICKI: All right. Great.
6	MR. KROLL: Did you do this
7	before?
8	MR. SAWICKI: No, there's two
9	Centurion Settlements, there's an LTD
10	and an LCC LLC. So I just wanted
11	to clean this up.
12	MR. KROLL: Oh, okay. Which one
13	are you marking it LLC or LTD?
14	MR. SAWICKI: 148 is LLC.
15	MR. KROLL: Okay. Got it.
16	Thanks so much.
17	BY MR. SAWICKI:
18	Q Sure. Mr. Segal, can you
19	identify Exhibit No. 48 strike that.
20	I will represent to you that this
21	is a 148 is a New York Department of
22	State Division of Corporations entity form
23	for Centurion Settlements LLC.
24	Is Centurion Settlements LLC
25	another entity that you created and were

	Page 95
1	Herman Segal
2	involved in?
3	A From the face of it, it appears
4	to be that I formed it, but I have no
5	recollection as to why. I can't even
6	imagine why I would do so and involved
7	in I have no recollection of being
8	involved with anything.
9	MR. SAWICKI: Okay. Gerry, next
10	we're going to Exhibit No. 19.
11	MS. GWOREK: That's got a date of
12	2010/09/30.
13	Q (Handing.)
14	A (Perusing.)
15	MR. KROLL: Got it. Thank you.
16	(Bates-stamped document BSI - LN
17	v. Sprei 00000180 through 185
18	previously marked for identification
19	as Exhibit 19 on 9/28/17.)
20	Q Mr. Segal, this Exhibit No. 19
21	has already been identified as a Viatical
22	Settlement Agent certificate in connection
23	with the Sara Sprei policy transaction.
24	Can you turn to the fourth page,
25	which is an insurance producer license for

```
Page 108
1
                    Herman Segal
2
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                   Sure. Okay.
3
               The time now is approximately
         3 p.m. We're going off the record.
4
5
               (A short recess was taken.)
6
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good
7
                      The time now is
         afternoon.
8
         approximately 3:14. We're back on the
9
         record.
                   It's the beginning of
10
         Media 3.
11
               MR. SAWICKI:
                             Thank you, Tom.
12
    BY MR. SAWICKI:
13
               Mr. Segal, do you know a person
    named Joseph Havas, H-A-V-A-S?
14
15
         Α
               I do not, no.
16
         Q
               To the best of your memory, have
17
    you ever had any business dealings with
18
    Joseph Havas?
19
               No, I'm sure I have not.
         A
20
              Do you know whether that person
    is related by blood or marriage to
21
22
    Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei?
                    Like I said, I never heard
23
         Α
24
    the name, don't know anything about it.
25
               Take a look through Exhibit 151.
         Q
```

	Page 109
1	Herman Segal
2	(158-page document was marked for
3	identification as Exhibit 151 as of
4	this date.)
5	A Do I have it?
6	MR. KROLL: Can you tell me the
7	name of that document again, please?
8	MR. SAWICKI: It's November 10,
9	2010. It's the sales document package
10	with the cover e-mail.
11	A Okay.
12	MR. KROLL: Oh, I got it. Sorry
13	about that. Thank you.
14	Q Mr. Segal, Exhibit No. 151 is
15	a an e-mail from Alan Rubenstein to your
16	son and you enclosing the sales
17	documentation package for this policy.
18	Can you confirm that
19	HermanSega@aol.com was your e-mail address
20	in November 2010?
21	A Yes, it was. But can you please
22	tell me which page I'm going to?
23	Q The first page, the very first
24	page is the e-mail cover.
25	A Where it says "please see

	Page 110
1	Herman Segal
2	attached"?
3	Q Yes. Right above that is e-mail
4	addresses.
5	A (Perusing.)
6	Q To answer my question, was that
7	your e-mail address in 2010?
8	A I know when I switched over, but
9	I'm sure in 2010 I still had that e-mail
10	address that I was using for certain
11	things, yes.
12	Q And WilliamJSegal@gmail.com was
13	your son's e-mail address at the time, too,
14	right?
15	Yes, that's correct.
16	Q Does this refresh your memory
17	that Alan Rubenstein sent you a copy of the
18	Sara Sprei policy sales documentation
19	package in November 2010?
20	A No, it does not. And I wouldn't
21	guarantee that it did, but there's no
22	reason to think he didn't if the other
23	things were as they were and maybe I opened
24	it. Maybe not.
25	I mean, at that point, I know I

	Page 111
1	Herman Segal
2	wasn't trusting and believing him, so I
3	assume that he did this to show me that he
4	was being transparent.
5	MS. GWOREK: Gerry, we're going
6	to go to another e-mail. This one's
7	dated 2010/11/21.
8	(One-page e-mail dated 11/21/10
9	was marked for identification as
10	Exhibit 152 as of this date.)
11	MR. KROLL: 11/21/2010.
12	MS. GWOREK: Right. It's Sprei
13	funds due.
14	A (Perusing.)
15	MR. KROLL: Got it. It's
16	Exhibit 62, right?
17	MR. SAWICKI: I think it's a new
18	one.
19	MS. GWOREK: It's the one right
20	below that.
21	MR. KROLL: Oh, I see.
22	MS. GWOREK: AR 237 is the Bates
23	stamp.
24	MR. KROLL: Okay. Great.
25	I have it now, thank you.

	Page 126
1	Herman Segal
2	to go with this one and then I'm going
3	to add 69, 70, 71 and 72.
4	MR. KROLL: Okay. Hold on.
5	MR. SAWICKI: 68 through 72.
6	MR. KROLL: And 67. What's next
7	Todd, after 67.
8	MR. SAWICKI: 68.
9	MR. KROLL: The whole thing?
10	MR. SAWICKI: Yeah. (Handing.)
11	A (Perusing.)
12	(Documents previously marked for
13	identification as Exhibits 67 through
14	72 on 10/20/17.)
15	Q Mr. Segal, you'll take a look
16	through Exhibit Nos. 67, 68, 69, 71 and 72.
17	A Yes. (Perusing.)
18	Q Can you confirm for me that this
19	is a series of e-mails and related
20	documents that you exchanged or sent to
21	Dr. Inzlicht concerning your request that
22	he invest in policies in the name of the
23	insured Cilya Weinberger?
24	A Yes, that's correct.
25	Q In 2014?

	Page 127
1	Herman Segal
2	A Yes.
3	Q Why did you offer these
4	policies investment opportunity to
5	Dr. Inzlicht at this time?
6	A I needed money and his wife, I
7	believe, had called about making a match
8	for my son with someone, she's an amateur
9	matchmaker, and in the course of the
10	conversation, she mentioned that she was
11	looking to invest in something and I
12	suggested this policies and I sent her the
13	e-mails as such.
14	Q Okay. And what what happened
15	in what was their response to your
16	request or your proposal?
17	A They said they had no interest
18	and that was the end of it.
19	Q Okay.
20	MR. SAWICKI: Hey, Gerry, we
21	found a few additional documents in
22	the record that we want to use as
23	exhibits and Mel is going to e-mail
24	them to you.
25	MR. KROLL: Oh, okay.

```
Page 139
1
                    Herman Segal
2
         Exhibit 42 on 10/20/17.)
3
         Α
               (Perusing.)
4
              Mr. Segal, Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei has
5
    identified Exhibit No. 42 as a copy of the
6
    letter that he sent in to August -- sent
7
    into Lincoln National dated August 28, 2016
8
    making a claims -- claim to the policy and
9
    providing a last will and testament of his
    mother to Lincoln.
10
11
              Do you see that?
12
              Yes, but I believe this was
         Α
    subsequent to the initial claim.
13
14
               Right. The initial claim was
         0
15
    dated in August of 2016 and then this
16
    letter came afterwards?
17
               Well, they -- I believe Lincoln
         Α
18
    had responded -- he had told me that
19
    Lincoln had responded by saying that they
    couldn't give him any information about
20
21
    anything unless he had some nexus to
22
    something, so I believe that that was the
23
    point of this letter.
24
               Okay. Did you help
25
        Inzlicht-Sprei prepare this August 28,
```

Page 140 1 Herman Segal 2 2016 letter, Exhibit 42? 3 Α Probably. 4 Q Once it became apparent that 5 Lincoln was not going to pay the policy 6 proceeds to Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei, did you 7 provide him with advice as what to do? 8 Α No, no. I only did -- was tell 9 him to send a letter to Lincoln so they 10 shouldn't pay out the money. And 11 subsequent to that, I told him to speak to 12 his -- I think it was his son-in-law or his 13 son, who worked for Ira and told him he 14 should do what he thinks is right. 15 And who is his son or son-in-law? Q 16 Α An attorney who worked for Ira. 17 Did you -- did you connect 18 Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei to Jerry Kroll at any 19 point in time? 20 I did not, no. Α 21 Mr. Segal, we've identified 22 several specific policies that you and your 23 partners were involved in. The Bergman 24 case, the Baum case, the Sprei case, and 25 some others.

	Page 157
1	Herman Segal
2	Q You just said that in your
3	opinion, nothing could be done.
4	How did you know that nothing
5	could be done if you didn't try selling the
6	policy?
7	A Well, first of all, this was not
8	the only policy. There were other policies
9	and we saw the market was not there. Plus
10	Alan Spiegel, who was acting as a
11	settlement broker at the time, told us that
12	there was no money available there were
13	no buyers, certainly not something that was
14	saddled with loans like the Sprei policy
15	was.
16	Q So then, in your opinion, it
17	wasn't even worth trying to sell the
18	policy?
19	A Well, I told Alan I don't
20	recall the conversation, but I'm sure he
21	was told to try to sell it.
22	Q When you say you're sure, why are
23	you sure?
24	A Because that's what we were
25	doing. But all the policies either we had

Page 158 1 Herman Segal 2 to make premiums on or we were being 3 squeezed by our lender to hand them over, 4 so we had a ticking time bomb situation, we 5 would lose the policies. We talked earlier about a 6 7 handwritten agreement that you had with 8 Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei, correct? 9 Α Yes. 10 Now, you mentioned that there was 11 something that was not actually written in 12 that agreement, which was that -- but it 13 was part of the deal that he was supposed 14 to get 10 percent upon death instead of 15 5 percent, right? 16 Α Yes. 17 Was there anything else that you 18 had agreed with Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei about 19 that was not embodied in that agreement? 20 Well, we basically had an Α 21 understanding that he would get some profit 22 out of this, that he wasn't just doing this 23 just for our benefit, that he was supposed 24 to benefit from this. 25 Q Was there anything else that's

Page 163 1 Herman Segal 2 you know, we're looking at every solution 3 and then there came a point when he was told that the policy was gone. 4 5 What solutions did you discuss with him? 6 7 Α None. 8 0 During that conversation, did he 9 ever tell you that he would want to pay 10 back the HM Ruby loan and take over the 11 policy? 12 Α No, he did not. 13 Did he ever express any interest Q 14 at all in taking over the policy? 15 Α I never thought to ask him if 16 he -- if he wanted to do that because I 17 didn't know that the policy was that 18 imminent of being taken away. 19 If Dr. Inzlicht had chosen --Q 20 Inzlicht-Sprei had chosen to take over the 21 policy and you mentioned earlier that --22 that he had that option, what would have 23 happened with the HM Ruby loan that was 24 outstanding? 25 MR. KROLL: Objection.

	Page 164
1	Herman Segal
2	Incomplete hypothetical, lacks
3	foundation, calls for speculation.
4	A He would have had to pay them
5	back or enter into some agreement with
6	them.
7	Q Do you know approximately what
8	the what the amount due at that time was
9	to HM Ruby?
10	A At the time I didn't know. But
11	based on the numbers I see now, it was
12	about 3 million.
13	Q Do you know whether Dr. Inzlicht
14	had \$3 million or had access to \$3 million
15	at that time to pay back to pay back
16	that loan?
17	MR. KROLL: Objection. No
18	foundation. Facts not in evidence,
19	calls for speculation, calls for a
20	conclusion.
21	A I do not and I did not.
22	Q What would have happened if HM
23	Ruby's loan was not repaid?
24	A Well, they never really had
25	the the mechanism for taking back the

	Page 165
1	Herman Segal
2	policy. It was my understanding that it
3	was it was always they had a model
4	when they started this was that they would
5	use their trustees. And I believe the
6	language of the policies of the of the
7	agreement of the finance agreement said
8	that if it's not repaid at the end of the
9	24 months plus three-month grace period
10	that the policy is deemed to have been
11	handed over.
12	So I assume that they could just
13	call the trustee and say, all right, you
14	know, it's our policy now, it's for our
15	benefit. I don't know.
16	Q Did you have an agreement with
17	Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei as to how much money you
18	were supposed to be making in connection
19	with the policy?
20	A No.
21	Q Do you know if anyone else did?
22	A No.
23	Q Did you have an agreement with
24	anybody else as far as how much money you
25	were going to be making in connection with

Page 167 1 Herman Segal 2 Α Of what? 3 Of the proceeds, right, that you 0 just testified that you were -- you had a 4 5 split, you would get 50/50 with the 6 Spiegels on the proceeds and my question is 7 why? 8 What is it, if anything, that you were doing in connection with the policy or 9 10 that they were supposed to do in connection 11 with the policy? 12 Α If the policy was procured or the 13 policy was disposed of? 14 0 Well, at -- at any time. 15 Α I don't understand the question. 16 Why we were getting half or why they were 17 getting half? 18 Well, you said they were getting 19 half because they brought the insured to 20 the table. So now my question is: 21 were you getting half? 22 Α For -- it was our business, we 23 had introduced them to it. We were the 24 ones who actually wrote the policy. 25 Q Did you have any roles or

	Page 168
1	Herman Segal
2	responsibilities at all in connection with
3	the Sprei policy?
4	A When it was originated?
5	Q At any point in time.
6	A Well, when it was originated, our
7	responsibilities were to get the policy
8	done that was financeable and could be sold
9	at a profit.
10	Q And after the policy was issued,
11	did you have any other ongoing
12	responsibilities?
13	A No.
14	Q Did you ever speak to the insured
15	Sara Sprei?
16	A No.
17	Q Did you ever have any written
18	communications with her?
19	A No. The proverbial, I wouldn't
20	know if she had bit me on the lip.
21	Q Okay. You testified earlier that
22	you don't know somebody by the name of
23	Joseph Havas and that you didn't do any
24	business with him, correct?
25	A Yes.

	Page 171
1	Herman Segal
2	A Well, I don't have much of a
3	recollection. But in the recesses of my
4	mind, at that point, I believe the policy
5	had been, I guess, disposed of and that he
6	had the funds.
7	Q Did you know of any reason why he
8	would have the funds?
9	A I don't know if I knew that he
10	had become the trustee or if he had somehow
11	gotten them, no, I do not.
12	Q Okay. So when you learned at the
13	time that the policy had been disposed of,
14	did you have a discussion with Dr. Inzlicht
15	about that?
16	A No.
17	Q Is there a reason why you never
18	called him?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And what was that reason?
21	A Because I was not going to be put
22	in a position where I would have to lie to
23	him.
24	Q Did Signature Capital Group have
25	a bank account at Santander Bank?

	Page 174
1	Herman Segal
2	Q So then the answer is no, you
3	never searched that account for e-mails?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q What about the other e-mail
6	account Segal Herman@gmail.com, do you
7	still have access to that e-mail account?
8	A I do.
9	Q Did you search that e-mail
10	account for e-mails related to this case?
11	A I did not.
12	Q Is there a reason why not?
13	A Same reason. It was way too
14	broad. And there was no reason for it
15	because they had access to it through the
16	bankruptcy trustee.
17	Q Did you ever serve any written
18	objections to any of those demands?
19	A No.
20	Q Do you know whether you still
21	have e-mails in those accounts dating back
22	to 2010?
23	A I don't believe so.
24	Q And what, if anything, happened
25	to them?

	Page 175
1	Herman Segal
2	A I wouldn't have kept files going
3	back that many years.
4	MR. PANETH: I'm sorry, can you
5	read back the answer.
6	(Answer was read back by the
7	court reporter.)
8	Q And is there a reason why you
9	wouldn't have kept files going back that
10	many years?
11	A I have an IRS situation and I
12	certainly don't need e-mails that could be
13	incriminatory.
14	Q What do you mean when you say you
15	have an IRS situation?
16	A About two, three years ago I was
17	informed that I was under investigation by
18	the Criminal Division of the Internal
19	Revenue Service and
20	Q And at that time you deleted
21	e-mails?
22	A Probably.
23	Q Were there any particular e-mails
2 4	that you deleted or
25	A Just the entire years.

	Page 179
1	Herman Segal
2	MR. SAWICKI: Gerry, Mr. Segal is
3	asking the court reporter to go back
4	to that passage if you want, I don't
5	know if you want to or not, or she
6	wants to.
7	A I don't recall using that word,
8	but if I did, I will be happy to explain
9	it.
10	MR. SAWICKI: Brittany says she
11	could do it, which is why she is such
12	an awesome court reporter on the
13	record.
14	MR. KROLL: Okay. Thank you,
15	Brittany.
16	(Requested part of the record was
17	read back by the court reporter.)
18	Q What did you mean when what
19	you originally thought was a conspiracy was
20	actually what went down at the time. What
21	did you mean by that?
22	A Well, when I was confronted by
23	the various peoples who tried to stop the
24	lawsuit from continuing and they told me
25	that I was involved with getting money when

	Page 180
1	Herman Segal
2	the policy was sold and I knew about it,
3	because I didn't have any recollection of
4	it, I denied it. And then they showed me
5	e-mails, at which point they thought that
6	perhaps that that was somehow just, you
7	know, made up e-mails. But in actuality, I
8	realized that I was involved with the sale
9	in 2010.
10	Q Okay. Let me direct your
11	attention, then, to Exhibit 44.
12	Tell me when you have the
13	document in front of you, please.
14	A (Perusing.) Can you tell me which
15	144 is?
16	Q It's the statement of net worth
17	document.
18	A Oh, way back when.
19	Q Correct.
20	A Just 44, not 144.
21	Q Let me take a look.
22	No, I don't believe it's 144. I
23	think in my end Exhibit 144
2 4	A Okay. I think I'm getting there,
25	one second.

	Page 181
1	Herman Segal
2	Q it's
3	A Can you give me some
4	Q it's a statement it's
5	statement of net worth, Sara Sprei Family
6	Statement of Net Worth as of December 31,
7	2007.
8	A Can you give me some exhibits
9	surrounding it so I should be able to find
10	it?
11	MR. KROLL: Can the reporter show
12	the witness Exhibit 44, please.
13	It's
14	MR. SAWICKI: It should be
15	relatively early in the deposition.
16	A I got it. Thank you.
17	Q Okay. Thank you.
18	Just take a look you were
19	asked earlier by counsel about this
20	document, which purports to be some type of
21	statement of net worth with the Sprei
22	family as of that date.
23	It's true, sir, that you don't
24	have any reason to believe that Sara Sprei
25	was involved in creating this document, do

Page 182 1 Herman Segal 2 you? 3 Α No. No. We -- we -- we 4 traditionally did not require the family to 5 show the net worth that we needed to write 6 the policy because it was rare for them to 7 actually have such a net worth. 8 And it's also true that you don't 0 9 have any reason to believe that Eli 10 Inzlicht-Sprei was involved in -- in any 11 way in the creation of that document, true? 12 Α That is -- that is correct, yes. 13 Q Thank you. 14 In connection with the Sara Sprei 15 Family Trust, you were asked earlier who 16 drafted it. Leaving aside who drafted it, 17 you understood that there was a trust that 18 was the owner of the policy when the policy 19 was originally procured, correct? 20 Α Yes. 21 And is it also true that the 22 agreement that you -- "you" meaning 23 Signature Capital had, that's reflected by 24 Exhibit 40, that Signature Capital 25 controlled the trust, the Sara Sprei Family

Page 183 1 Herman Segal 2 Trust? Well, not on paper, but yes, in 3 Α reality, the trustee took instructions from 4 5 us, if we needed to -- to take out a 6 paragraph or put in a paragraph or change 7 anything, yes, that is correct. 8 In other words, Signature Capital 9 was managing, controlling, running this 10 entire policy, correct? 11 Α Yes. 12 Just one moment. Q 13 Now, earlier, in response to 14 questioning by Mr. Paneth, he was asking 15 about documentation that you had and I 16 thought I heard you say that Wells Fargo 17 had access to your documents; is that true? 18 Α Well, the bankruptcy trustee had 19 the copied my computer, so Wells Fargo, I 20 told Wells Fargo that they can get it from 21 him and -- yes, it is true. 22 Q Okay. And -- and when did you 23 have this -- well, strike that. 24 Who at Wells Fargo did you have 25 this communication with about contacting

	Page 193
1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	STATE OF NEW YORK )
	: ss.:
5	COUNTY OF NEW YORK )
6	
7	I, BRITTANY SALINE, a Notary Public for and
8	within the State of New York, do hereby certify:
9	That the witness whose testimony as herein
10	set forth was duly sworn by me.
11	I further certify that I am not related to
12	any of the parties to this action by blood or
13	marriage, and that I am in no way interested in
14	the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
16	hand this 27th day of December, 2017.
17	
18	Buttony Jaline
19	BRITTANY SALINE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	